United States Court of AppealsFOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

	No. 99-1	318
In re: Anthony Wayne Gales; Sher Kay Gales,	rry * * *	
Debtors,	* *	
Anthony Wayne Gales, and Sherry Kay Gales,	* * *	On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa.
Appellants,	* * *	[Not to be Published]
v. City of Des Moines, Iowa,	* *	
Appellee.	*	
Submitted: September 27, 1999 Filed: October 12, 1999		
Before WOLLMAN, Chief Judge, RICHARD S. ARNOLD and BEAM, Circuit Judges.		

PER CURIAM.

The City of Des Moines filed with the Bankruptcy Court¹ a motion to reopen the bankruptcy estate of Anthony and Sherry Gales. The Bankruptcy Court granted the motion. The District Court² affirmed, and the Galeses now appeal.

The Galeses did not list on their bankruptcy schedules or mention at the meeting of creditors a potential cause of action Mr. Gales had against the City arising from his pre-petition arrest by two City police officers. Upon hearing of the potential claim against the City, the trustee asked the Galeses' attorney about the claim and, assured by the attorney that the Galeses were not pursuing it, abandoned the estate's interest in the claim. Although the Galeses argue that the Bankruptcy Court erred in reopening the case because an abandonment is generally irrevocable, see In re Nebel, 175 B.R. 306, 312 (Bankr. D. Neb. 1994), the Bankruptcy Court has the power to modify or set aside an abandonment if the debtor concealed information from the trustee or the trustee was given incomplete or false information about the asset, and there is no prejudice to an innocent owner. See <u>In re Lintz West Side Lumber</u>, 655 F.2d 786, 789-91 (7th Cir. 1981); see also Tschirn v. Secor Bank, 123 B.R. 215, 218 (E.D. La. 1991) (abandonment revocable where trustee was misled regarding existence and value of property, preventing trustee from making informed, procedurally correct abandonment); In re Ozer, 208 B.R. 630, 634 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 1997) (if trustee was misled with respect to asset, so that abandonment was not knowing and intentional, abandonment can be revoked).

Under the facts of this case--the omission of the claim from the schedules and the representation that the claim would not be pursued--we conclude the Bankruptcy Court did not abuse its discretion by deciding to revoke the abandonment and reopen

¹The Honorable Lee M. Jackwig, United States Bankruptcy Judge for the Southern District of Iowa.

²The Honorable R. E. Longstaff, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa.

the case, thus allowing the trustee to administer the claim as an asset of the bankruptcy estate for the benefit of creditors.

Accordingly, we affirm.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.